

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,092	03/30/2004	Kazuyoshi Mizutani	Q80752	3094
23373 7	590 10/07/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE N		HAMILTON, CYNTHIA		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20037			
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		xh /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/812,092	MIZUTANI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cynthia Hamilton	1752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		,				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/17/2005. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 4-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/812,092 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The examiner notes for the record that applicants have amended claim 1 as to combine claims 1, 2 and 3. No claim with such a limitation was present in the claims until this amendment. The combined limit of molecular weight dispersion degree of 1.5 and below with the limitation of W to a group having an alicyclic or aromatic group was not a subspecies presented in the original claims.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toru et al (JP 2002-49156) as evidenced by the machine assisted translation by THOMSON dated 2/21/2005 in view of Aoai et al (5,837,420). With respect to instant claims 1 and 4-15, Toru et al teach all of the instant invention with the exception of that the polymer used needs to have a molecular weight dispersion degree of 1.5 or below. Aoai et al teach for similar positive working photosensitive compositions that the dispersion degree be particularly 1.0 to 1.6 with the smaller the dispersion degree of a resin being the better heat resistance and image forming characteristics such as pattern profile, defocus latitude, etc. the resin can provide. In Aoai et al, see particularly the paragraph bridging col. 38-39. The smallest number polydispersity can be is 1.0 for this is the perfect situation wherein Mw=Mn and all the polymer chains are essentially the same length. Thus, with respect to instant claims 1 and 4-15, the formation of the polymers of

Application/Control Number: 10/812,092 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752

Toru et al with a polydispersity as close to 1.0 as possible would be prima facie obvious in view of Aoai et al teaching the desire to improve heat resistance and image forming characteristics by doing so. In THOMSON, see particularly 3/96, 4/96, 9/96, 10/96, 11/96, 18/96 to 21/96, 39/96 to 44/96 for polymer formulas and examples.

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 17 June 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Toru et al do not solve the same problem as they do, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).
- 5. The examiner agrees that Urano et al does not meet the limitation of W now part of instant claim 1. The examiner also agrees that amendments to the claims now eliminate Blakeney et al because of the indeterminate nature of the crosslinking with respect to polydispersity.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1752

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Hamilton whose telephone number is 571-272-1331. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on (571) 272-0729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cynthia Hamiltor
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1752

ARY EXAMINER

October 2, 2005